

Town of Franklin



Conservation Commission

**July 27, 2023
Meeting Minutes**

As stated on the agenda, this meeting is available to be attended in person and via the Zoom platform. In an effort to ensure citizen engagement and comply with open meeting law regulations, citizens will be able to dial into the meeting using the provided phone number, or citizens can participate by using the Zoom link provided on the agenda. This meeting will be held in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building for citizens wishing to attend in person.

Commencement

Chair Patrick Gallagher called the above-captioned meeting to order this date at 7:00 PM as a remote/virtual/in-person meeting. Members in attendance: Patrick Gallagher (via Zoom), Jeffrey Milne, Jeff Livingstone, Richard Johnson, Michael Rein, Mark LePage. Absent: Meghann Hagen. Also present: Breeka Li Goodlander, Conservation Agent; Mark Cerel, Town Attorney; Tyler Paslaski, Administrative Assistant.

Note: Documents presented to the Conservation Commission are on file.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Public Hearing – NOI – Spruce Pond Aquatic Management Program

Ms. Goodlander stated the applicant requested a continuance to August 10, 2023. She stated that Derek Adams is here and he took a deeper dive into the herbicides.

Mr. Adams of Franklin DPW, Environmental Affairs, stated that he looked over the herbicides. He stated that as long as they are going to use it according to the label, each of them do seem appropriate. He stated that he would like to be present at the next meeting when the applicant is present so he can ask questions on how they are going to be doing the applications. He stated that the label is the law.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to continue the public hearing for the NOI for Spruce Pond Aquatic Management Program to August 10, 2023, at 7:01 PM. The motion was seconded by Michael Rein and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – 1 Paddock Lane

Ms. Goodlander confirmed that the applicant requested a continuance.

There was a motion made by Jeff Livingstone to continue the public hearing for the ANRAD for 1 Paddock Lane to August 10, 2023, at 7:02 PM. The motion was seconded by Michael Rein and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – Maplegate Solar North

Mr. Greg DiBona of Bohler Engineering (via Zoom) representing the applicant stated that Dan Wells of LEC Environmental Consultants would be present (via Zoom) along with a member of the legal team.

Chair Gallagher stated that Ms. Goodlander and BETA are still reviewing a response letter.

Mr. DiBona provided an update. He stated that two weeks ago he gave an update on the field evaluations. There were comments regarding some isolated wetlands that were not originally picked up. He stated that with the resubmittal they got an updated survey and updated their drawings. They provided detailed responses to the BETA review letter. They also filed a variance of relief for filling the isolated wetland. He stated that the net area change for relief is 307 sq. ft. of wetlands, and they are proposing over 700 sq. ft. of restoration. He noted that they are also restoring other areas. He stated that they filed the check and provided an explanation with the response letter. He stated that they revised the lines accordingly in the field.

Mr. Jonathan Niro of BETA (via Zoom) stated that they will review the information provided by the applicant and will get back to you folks before the next meeting.

Mr. DiBona asked if there was anything outstanding that they need to provide. Mr. Wells stated that he thinks everything BETA was looking for was included in the submittal.

Chair Gallagher stated that he thinks that as BETA is reviewing it and if they think anything is missing, they will let us know.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the public hearing for the NOI for Maplegate Solar North to August 10, 2023, at 7:03 PM. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 100 Financial Park

Mr. Doug Hartnett of Highpoint Engineering, representing the applicant Berkeley Partners, addressed the Commission for a Notice of Intent for a proposed 220,000+/- sq. ft. flex-warehouse building and 65,000+/- sq. ft. flex-warehouse building expansion at 100 and 200 Financial Park; the proposed impacts include approximately 67,600 sq. ft. of the 100-ft. buffer zone to BVW and vernal pools. He stated that Ms. Connie Lu of Highpoint Engineering was present (via Zoom). Mr. Hartnett stated that he wanted to provide a status update of their workings with the Planning Board and BETA reviewers. He showed the original site plan. He stated that they received BETA's comments letter. He discussed that delineation changes in the field were made as recommended. He stated that they intend to submit the revised survey with the revised wetland lines with their response comments. He explained that they have had three hearings with the Planning Board; they have received one significant review letter from BETA. He stated that they expect BETA's second review letter to come back this week or next. They will make final plan revisions based on that and will submit the revised plans to the Planning Board and Conservation Commission. He stated that they would like to meet with the Commission again on August 24. He showed and discussed modifications to the site entrance driveway shown in purple on the display. He discussed that trucks existing the site are swinging into opposing lanes creating a potential public safety issue. He stated that the Planning Board asked them to look into this. He stated that what it requires is essentially a shifting of the site driveway entrance and curb cut about 10 ft. north from the current position. He showed and explained the existing and proposed. He stated that there will be some drainage improvements. He stated that their intent is to finalize the new design and provide it to BETA for review.

He stated that the Planning Board requires the Conservation Commission to render a decision on the NOI. He stated that his expectation is to finish all this up at the end of August/beginning of September.

Mr. Jonathan Niro of BETA (via Zoom) asked about the relocation of the site drive entrance. He questioned that by shifting further north, what is the closest impervious to the resource area. Mr. Hartnett stated that right now the edge of pavement is the 25 ft. boundary line; we have done what we can to get the pavement outside the 25 ft. Mr. Niro agreed it is difficult to balance requirements of different boards. He requested that if they go over the 25 ft. based on the requirements for the Planning Board, the applicant should document that in a variance request to the Conservation Commission.

Ms. Goodlander recommended a continuance to August 10, 2023, at 7:04 PM. Mr. LePage confirmed the edge of the driveway as it was shifted north.

There was a motion made by Michael Rein to continue the public hearing for the NOI for 100 Financial Park to August 10, 2023, at 7:04 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeff Livingstone and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 15 Liberty Way

Ms. Goodlander stated that the applicant requested a continuance. She stated that BETA sent a revised scope and fee including a site walk. She stated that she is waiting for that to be paid.

Mr. Scott Goddard of Goddard Consulting (via Zoom) addressed the Commission for the Notice of Intent for a proposed parking lot expansion within an isolated vegetated wetland and all associated buffer zones, including the 25 ft. no touch zone. He stated that they have gone back out and marked off the various hydrological features discussed at the last meeting. He stated that they will be taking care of the fee and coordinating a site walk in short order. He stated that any time next week would be fine. Discussion commenced with Ms. Goodlander and Mr. Jonathan Niro of BETA (via Zoom) on the date/time of the site walk; Wednesday morning at 10 AM was tentatively agreed on.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to continue the NOI for 15 Liberty Way to August 10, 2023, at 7:05 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 10 Echo Bridge Road

Mr. James Morin of Northeast Classic Engineering addressed the Commission on behalf of the property owners for an in-ground pool at an existing home. The proposal is for a vinyl lined pool with pavers around the pool and a fence as shown on the plan submitted to the Commission. He stated that they are proposing a cartridge filter where they are not allowed to backwash the pool. The filter will be cleaned by hand. He reviewed that it states on the drawing that no backwashing is allowed. He noted that he provided a detail of the fence. He stated that they kept everything out of the 25 ft. buffer zone. He stated that they did a recharge design on the pavers which is on the plan. He stated that he spoke with the engineer at DPW who said that he would support them on the recharge on the pavers.

Ms. Goodlander stated that this is not the first public hearing for these applicants, but it is the first presentation. She stated that she has worked cooperatively with the applicant and is comfortable approving this permit. She stated that the scale is incorrect per our regulations and stated that I think for a residential pool project that is probably fine. She stated that she would recommend approval with standard special conditions 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 44, 46, 47, 51, and 52, and the property owner shall not backwater or discharge chlorinated water downgradient toward resource areas.

In response to questions, Mr. Morin discussed how the pool water would be lowered via an auxiliary pump and discharged down the driveway if needed. He stated that currently it is a lawn area where the pool will be going in.

Chair Gallagher stated that he had no issues voting on this tonight.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to close the public hearing for the NOI for 10 Echo Bridge Road. The motion was seconded by Jeff Livingstone and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to approve an order of conditions for the NOI for 10 Echo Bridge Road with special conditions as stated by Ms. Goodlander. The motion was seconded by Michael Rein and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – Lot 1A Prospect Street

Mr. Paul McManus, professional wetland scientist of EcoTec Inc., and applicant Mr. Louis Petrozzi from Wall Street Development, addressed the Commission for an NOI for a proposed single-family home, with associated private well, septic system, driveway, landscaping, infrastructure, and site grading; a portion of the proposed work is located within the 200 ft. riverfront area (RFA) including the house, private well, and site grading. Mr. McManus stated that the property has bordering vegetative wetland on one side and presumed riverfront area; he stated that conservatively, the project has been filed on that presumption. He stated that he has delineated some areas of degraded riverfront which consists of two types which he explained. He stated that he went out to the site this morning with Ms. Goodlander and the BETA representative; they reviewed the degraded riverfront delineation. He reviewed the proposal based on redevelopment for riverfront. He stated that the septic system is in Bellingham. He stated that part of the project is proposed to make use of the degraded area, and a small area is proposed to be developed in non-degraded; there is a net of 2,700 sq. ft. of re-naturalized restored riverfront. He noted that most of the house is within the degraded riverfront. The site is relatively flat, and there is erosion control proposed. He noted that there were woody plantings in the restoration area proposed.

Ms. Goodlander stated that she has nothing to add at this time. She stated that she will be going through the actual submittal within the next two weeks. Chair Gallagher confirmed the ORAD was from 2021.

There was a motion made by Jeff Livingstone to continue the NOI for Lot 1A Prospect Street to August 10, 2023, at 7:07 PM. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – Veterans Memorial Drive

Ms. Nicole Hayes of Goddard Consulting (via Zoom) stated that she asked for a continuance. She stated that she and the agent will be going out into the field next week to look at the flags.

Ms. Goodlander stated that the site walk is scheduled for next Tuesday.

There was a motion made by Michael Rein to continue the ANRAD for Veterans Memorial Drive to August 10, 2023, at 7:08 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – Upper Union Street Solar

Chair Gallagher stated that he opened the public hearing.

Ms. Colleen DeBenedetto of Valta Energy on behalf of the applicant VS Union Solar Smart LLC addressed the Commission for an NOI for a proposed solar development project at 0 Upper Union Street including perimeter fencing, photovoltaic solar panels, racking systems, inverters, transformers with association concrete pads, above and below ground utilities, stormwater facilities, and gravel access road; the site is currently vacant and primarily forested. She stated that Atlantic Design Engineers and Goddard Consulting are present at tonight's meeting.

Mr. Rich Tabaczynski of Atlantic Design Engineers showed and reviewed the plans. He explained the proposed ground mounted solar on the 6.2-acre site. He stated that it is 2.3 acres of fenced in solar array. The total disturbed area is a little over 3 acres proposed. He stated that property has a narrow entrance. The topography is fairly flat until the easement that runs through the property and from that point it gets a little steeper. He reviewed the location of the New England Power easement. He stated that there are two wetland areas that Goddard Consulting flagged. He stated that there is a long access road coming in; the solar facility is in the rear of the property. He stated that the access road has been designed to split the wetland areas.

Mr. Andrew Thibault of Goddard Consulting noted that Mr. Scott Goddard is at the meeting via Zoom. Mr. Thibault reviewed the provided map, the delineation of the site, and the general topography of the site. He stated that the site was delineated in April. He explained the isolated wetland that they found.

Mr. Tabaczynski stated that they would request a continuance as no peer review has been conducted yet. He noted they are working with the Planning Board also. He reviewed the work proposed within the buffer zone. He reviewed that it is a 20 ft. gravel road per the fire departments requirements. He stated that there will be underground utilities within the road bed. He reviewed that a small portion of the panels and fence would also be located within the 100 ft. buffer zone. There will not be any panels within the 50 ft. zone. He said the total disturbance is about 34,000 sq. ft. He discussed the difficulty in keeping the roadway out of the 25 ft. zone. He reviewed other work in the buffer zone is a little grading. He noted erosion control measures along the access driveway. He stated that the majority of the roadway is in an area previously disturbed. The amount of work in the 0 ft. to 25 ft. zone is about 308 sq. ft. He stated that they have submitted a full stormwater report. He stated that they feel they comply with DEP and the Town's stormwater regulations.

Mr. Thibault reviewed the mitigation plan for the work proposed in the 25 ft. zone. He noted the current foot path in the area. Mr. Livingstone reviewed how historically the power line companies have filed NOIs making special provisions to work in those areas. He asked if there are additional considerations to consider as the power line companies have to do maintenance, they may have to cross that road with equipment, and does that affect the design. Mr. Tabaczynski stated that they will need to get permission from the utility company for the crossing for the work that is being proposed. He said that the road is at grade. So, at that crossing where their drive crosses ours, they are at the same grade.

Commission members asked questions. Mr. Thibault noted that there would be a fenced area. Mr. Tabaczynski discussed the grades. He stated that double erosion controls have been provided in the steeper areas.

Ms. Goodlander stated that she has not yet reviewed the NOI submission or gone on the site. She asked if they have looked at DEP's wetland policy for solar arrays as they have significant language for clearing areas for new solar arrays. Mr. Tabaczynski said he is familiar with the policy and will keep it in mind.

Chair Gallagher stated that he is uncomfortable with clear cutting to put in solar. Mr. Tabaczynski reiterated that the site is 6 acres and the clearing is 3.2 acres. Chair Gallagher stated that it is counter intuitive and counter productive on a certain level. He wanted to know how much clearing is proposed particularly in the buffer areas; he would like the number of trees that would have to come down and mitigation and alternatives that have been looked at. He stated that this item would be continued.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to continue the NOI for Upper Union Street Solar to August 10, 2023, at 7:09 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – RDA – 121 Grove Street

Chair Gallagher recused himself.

Mr. Chris Lucas of Lucas Environmental (via Zoom) addressed the Commission to conduct test pits for a Zoning Board of Appeals filing. He noted that this is for the proposed 40B off Grove Street. He stated they prepared a plan showing the test pit locations; he shared his screen and reviewed the plan. He stated that the delineation boundaries were recently before the Commission. He stated that 38 test pit locations are proposed and located outside of resource areas. He stated that they are hoping for a negative determination from the Commission.

Ms. Goodlander stated that she told the applicants that they could seek a variance for work within the 25 ft.; they choose not to. She stated that this work is exempt under the WPA but not exempt under the local bylaw and associated regulations which she explained. She reviewed, as noted in her agent's report, that the applicant is seeking a negative determination (approval) to conduct the work. A review of the submission showed that the plan provided does not include all resource areas previously identified in the existing ORAD for the property. Per the ORAD, onsite intermittent streams are to be delineated in any future permit filing. The applicant should delineate these streams to 1) demonstrate that no adverse impacts to these streams or their associated buffers will occur, and 2) have a complete site plan. She reviewed that considering that BETA was the original lead on this project, it is recommended that the Commission request BETA to peer review this filing. She recommended against granting a negative determination.

Representative who did not identify himself (via Zoom) discussed his understanding of the ORAD process and that they were only going to flag the intermittent streams if work was proposed in them. He stated that they have no intent to touch those streams or go near them. He stated they are internal to the approve delineation. Ms. Goodlander stated that the test pits were not in the ORAD and were not confirmed. She stated that they need a site plan with all resource areas identified. Representative (Chris) stated that the test pits are shown in the plan before the Commission in red; these areas were confirmed by the Commission.

Ms. Goodlander stated that Town Counsel stated that BETA should confirm this. Mr. Livingstone summarized the situation. He suggested allowing BETA to make a decision or suggestion as to if the streams should or should not be delineated so they become the governing opinion.

Ms. Goodlander stated that she agreed that they can deal with the bylaw regulations afterwards. She stated that it is her opinion that they need a complete site plan that shows all jurisdictional resource areas on it. She stated that the ORAD stated that any work near or around these intermittent streams which also include their buffer zones, we need to see that shown. Mr. Livingstone stated that he agreed this has always been the case.

Representative (Chris) asked if the Commission would be open to moving forward with a negative determination with the test pits with a condition. He stated that it is a significant delay to delineated them at this point and then have BETA peer review it. He stated that it seems like an undue delay. Ms. Goodlander stated that Mr. Niro of BETA is not being paid currently to chime in for their confirmation. She said that for accessing the northern test pits, how are you going to avoid wetland or stream impacts. This is why we need a complete site plan. She stated that she maintains that all jurisdictional resources need to be shown on a site plan. Representative (Chris) explained how he was going to get to the test pits; there will be not impact to the wetland with that piece of machinery.

Representative who did not identify himself (John Shipe) (via Zoom) discussed how the test pits would be done using an excavator and avoid as many trees as possible and maybe push over some smaller saplings.

Vice Chair Milne asked about continuing. Mr. LePage suggested getting BETA's input on this. Representative (John Shipe) said he would get drawings done and will seek a variance on this and maybe tweak some locations of the test pits.

Town Attorney Mark Cerel asked if the applicant was going to refine these maps or get BETA to review it and then respond to BETA and refine the plan as necessary. Ms. Goodlander stated that Chris Lucas will need to go out and delineate them, then she will review it, and then they will go from there. Or BETA could review their notes right now and then confirm and throw out a buffer zone.

Representative (John Shipe) said that maybe BETA will look at this and say clarify and negate the need for Chris to go trudging through the brush there in all of the heat; maybe they can start with talking with BETA. Ms. Goodlander stated that she would have BETA provide a scope and fee at their earliest convenience, and then she will send it to the applicant and when payment is received, they can move forward.

There was a motion made by Michael Rein to continue the RDA for 121 Grove Street to August 10, 2023, at 7:10 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 5-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Chair Gallagher re-entered the meeting.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – 124-146 Grove Street

Chair Gallagher stated that he opened the public hearing.

Ms. Nicole Hayes of Goddard Consulting addressed the Commission for an ANRAD at 124 and 126 Grove Street to confirm the wetland resources located on the site. She shared her screen and reviewed the plans. She stated that there are two buildings currently on the site. She stated that the resources on the site are one BVW, intermittent stream and bank within existing stormwater basins. She stated the buffer zones are also shown on the plans. She discussed that the applicant is seeking clarification on whether these areas are jurisdictional under the WPA and/or local bylaw and associated regulations. She stated that the applicant would like to do some work within the stormwater basins. She stated that they provided two reports within their submittal. She reviewed that one report provided the history of the detention basin. She stated that therefore, those areas that she pointed out would not be jurisdictional under the state. She noted that the Town's bylaw takes jurisdiction. She stated that they did an analysis of the basins. She showed pictures of the three retention basins that they would like to focus on. She noted the agent has been on site. She discussed each of the three basins and said that basin #1 would not be jurisdictional under the Town's bylaw. She stated that they are hoping to get the questions answered as to whether the

areas in the detention ponds that were permitted through NOIs which would not be jurisdictional under the state, if they would be jurisdictional under the local bylaw, and if so, the landowner/applicant would like to do some work within these two basins. She said the two basins would be modified and filled and additional areas created so no functional values would be lost. She stated that she does not know if the Commission would like to do a site walk. She stated they are asking for guidance from the Commission.

Chair Gallagher stated that there is no project; this is just determining jurisdiction.

Ms. Goodlander stated that she would like BETA to get involved. She stated that she recommended continuing as BETA has yet to provide scope and fee. She stated that she would like to look at basin #3 again. She stated that her next steps would be to go out to verify the flags.

Chair Gallagher asked if there was some inadvertent filling. Representative (via Zoom) who did not identify himself (Scott) stated that was taken care of. Chair Gallagher asked to note that in the NOI submission. Representative (Scott) stated that he does not know if the BETA process is needed. Chair Gallagher stated that it depends on the circumstances and right now from the agenda the Conservation Department is slightly busy, so our preference is to have BETA take a look, and we rely on them a great deal. Representative (Scott) stated this makes sense.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to continue the ANRAD for 124-146 Grove Street to August 10, 2023, at 7:11 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeff Livingstone and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Mr. Livingstone gave historical perspective on basins in subdivisions; years later the construction company wants to clean them out, but they have become wetlands even though they were never designed to be wetlands. He stated that we have typically fallen on the side of public safety.

GENERAL BUSINESS

Minor Buffer Zone Activities: None.

Permit Modifications/Extensions: None.

Certificates of Compliance: 803 Washington Street CE159-1262

Owner of 803 Washington Street stated that they have accomplished the job and would like the COC. Ms. Goodlander stated that she went out today and everything looks fine. She requested that the grass clippings be pulled back. She recommended approval.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to approve the Certificate of Compliance for 803 Washington Street CE159-1262. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Violations/Enforcement: 305 Union Street

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to extend the violation enforcement for 30 days for 305 Union Street. The motion was seconded by Jeff Livingstone and accepted with a roll call vote of 5-0-1. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Abstain; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Minutes: June 29, 2023 & July 13, 2023

There was a motion made by Jeff Livingstone to approve the meeting minutes for June 29, 2023. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

There was a motion made by Jeff Livingstone to approve the meeting minutes for July 13, 2023. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Gallagher-Yes; Milne-Yes; Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Discussions: None.

Chair and Commission Comments:

Chair Gallagher stated that he will be resigning from the Commission in the beginning of September. He stated that it has been an honor to work with all the Commission members. He stated that at the next meeting there will be elections for the next chair and vice chair.

Chair Gallagher stated that Ms. Hagen is not able to do the Farmers Market tomorrow. Mr. LePage stated that he will do 4 PM to 6 PM; no member was available to do 2 PM to 4 PM. Chair Gallagher asked if the table should be given back to Roger for tomorrow. Mr. LePage stated that he would coordinate with Ms. Hagen and if it does not work out, he will let Roger know.

Executive Session: None.

There was a no motion, second, or roll call vote made to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith Lizardi
Recording Secretary