

Town of Franklin



Conservation Commission

**September 21, 2023
Meeting Minutes**

As stated on the agenda, this meeting is available to be attended in person and via the Zoom platform. In an effort to ensure citizen engagement and comply with open meeting law regulations, citizens will be able to dial into the meeting using the provided phone number, or citizens can participate by using the Zoom link provided on the agenda. This meeting will be held in the Council Chambers, second floor of the Municipal Building, for citizens wishing to attend in person.

Commencement

Chair Jeff Livingstone called the above-captioned meeting to order this date at 7:00 PM as a remote/virtual/in-person meeting. Members in attendance: Jeff Livingstone, Jeffrey Milne, Richard Johnson, Michael Rein, Meghann Hagen (via Zoom), Mark LePage. Absent: None. Also present: Breeka L  Goodlander, Conservation Agent (via Zoom); Tyler Paslaski, Administrative Assistant.

Note: Documents presented to the Conservation Commission are on file.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Public Hearing – NOI – Spruce Pond Aquatic Management Program

Ms. Goodlander stated that she thinks this project can be moved forward with conditions. She stated that the applicant has met all outstanding requirements, except for delineating onsite resources. The decision to require delineation of onsite resources is deferred to the Commission. Given that the entirety of work is within the ingress and egress of the pond, and not within its upland landscape, orthoimagery is a practical alternative for determining resource boundaries. She recommended approval of the NOI and Variance with conditions as indicated in her agent's report dated September 21, 2023, and as she read aloud and explained as follows: #23-31, 36, 37, 48-50, 52-58. She stated that there are four possible conditions that she would defer to the Commission to meet Best Management Practices necessity: SSC #42 No Rock Salt, SSC #43 No Fertilizers, SSC regarding interest and control of property, and SSC regarding snow removal location and stockpile areas.

Commission members asked questions and made comments. In response, Ms. Goodlander reviewed and explained SSC #52 and SSC #55

Mr. Keith Gazaille of Solitude Lake Management (via Zoom), representing the applicant, addressed the Commission and stated that he thinks the timeline, from their perspective, is fine; it should be 2024. Ms. Goodlander stated the NOI is for three years, and it can be extended up to three times.

Mr. Gazaille stated that as they go through the process, maybe additional conditions could be incorporated at that time. Ms. Goodlander explained her reasoning for some of the suggested conditions. Ms. Hagen questioned the rock salt and snow stockpiles conditions. Mr. Gazaille asked about some of the conditions for his understanding. He asked if the sampling requirement was annual or one time. Ms. Goodlander explained that there are two sampling conditions: one is a bi-yearly sampling with a report in

the spring and fall, and the monthly vegetative sampling as indicated in the review/response letter. Mr. Gazaille asked about SSC #56. Ms. Goodlander said this was every time you were treating. Chair Livingstone said this is how it has been done in the past. Mr. Gazaille requested that it be clearly stipulated in the order.

Mr. Gazaille asked about 30 percent aquatic vegetation. He said the language sounds a little open to him. He is not certain about the level of vegetation that exists currently, so he is not sure how the Commission would interpret this. Ms. Goodlander stated that months ago it was asked that the vegetation onsite was identified. She said that it was her understanding from the previous representative that it was complete as we did need to know what was out there and there was a high level of confidence of the diversity and makeup of those plants so that we could selectively treat what we needed to treat, i.e., invasives. She said that her point number two is that it is a very loaded question and begs the question that if there were less than 30 percent native species, she would want to know why and what happened.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to close the public hearing for the NOI for Spruce Pond Aquatic Management Program. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 4-0-2. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Abstain; Milne-Yes; Rein-Abstain; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to approve the NOI for Spruce Pond Aquatic Management Program with conditions as stated. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 4-0-2. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Abstain; Milne-Yes; Rein-Abstain; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – 1 Paddock Lane

Ms. Goodlander stated that at the last meeting the applicant requested to be continued to October 5, 2023.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to continue the public hearing for the ANRAD for 1 Paddock Lane to October 5, 2023, at 7:01 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 100 Financial Park

Ms. Goodlander stated that she has not received updated or revised information pertaining to stormwater standards for the applicant, and she does not see the applicant or representative on Zoom, and she did not receive a request for continuance. She recommended a continuance to October 5, 2023.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the public hearing for the NOI for 100 Financial Park to October 5, 2023, at 7:02 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 15 Liberty Way

Mr. Scott Goddard, wetland scientist of Goddard Consulting (via Zoom) on behalf of the applicant, stated that they have had good progress since the last meeting, but they are not at a point of closing. He provided a brief update. He said that per the peer review of the wetlands and desire to characterize an offsite stormwater feature as BVW, there is a request to make this a joint filing with the DEP treating it as BVW. So, they are in the process of pulling that together, and it will be filed this week to make it a joint application. He said the second issue is about the stormwater and questions that came up from Dan Campbell of Level Design Group and the peer review about infiltration and groundwater regarding the

stormwater design. He said that in talking with Mr. Campbell today, there is still some internal dialogue happening. Mr. Campbell asked if there is an opportunity for a working session/Zoom call with the peer engineer. He said a third issue is that they had proposed a substantial invasive species management program partly on their work area and the easement areas. He said that what he sees from the applicant's side is that the proposed work area activity is exclusively from the old and poorly managed stormwater features partly onsite and partly offsite with the easements. He discussed that they will be including an operation and maintenance plan for the onsite infiltration within the proposed parking area. He discussed a possible mitigation plan. He said if the Commission thinks it is reasonable, they would like to produce an O&M plan and a rebuilding plan for the easement-based stormwater features for their mitigation for the next meeting.

Ms. Goodlander questioned the areas Mr. Goddard was referencing. Mr. Goddard said he thinks they can restore the stormwater features back to their former glory and also introduce some more desirable plantings and management long term of undesirable plantings. He stated that he thinks this can work in harmony. Ms. Goodlander stated that she thinks this limits the restoration, and it is not really in kind, and is something for the Commission to think about. She said they are isolated wetlands now, and we replicate wetlands, and they are locally jurisdictional. She asked if Mr. Goddard has reached out to DPW yet to see what are their plans for the easement. Mr. Goddard said no, but he can do that. He requested a continuation.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the NOI for 15 Liberty Way to October 5, 2023, at 7:03 PM. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – Lot 1A Prospect Street

Ms. Goodlander stated that the applicant submitted revised information including a revised site plan with revised degraded RFA boundaries and RFA calculations, reduced limit of lawn, proposed e/s controls and stockpile locations, e/s control narrative, proposed monitoring and reporting plan, construction sequence, NOI, mapping, vernal pool statement, functions and characteristics statements, avoid, minimize, and mitigate language, and alternative analysis. She said that BETA required an amended scope for the review which was paid.

Mr. Johnson said that he thought the last time they had a conversation, everyone knew what they were going to do to make this work.

The applicant's representative (who did not identify himself) stated that following the last meeting, they had a site visit with BETA and Ms. Goodlander, and they modified the limit of degraded area. He said that previously it was 8,500 sq. ft. of degraded riverfront area, and it was reduced to approximately 5,600 sq. ft. He said that with those features agreed upon in the field, they redesigned the plan, and they submitted all other prerequisites under the bylaw. He said that he does not see much to do on this and was hoping to close this.

Ms. Goodlander said that she will prefer one more two-week period to review and have BETA provide their comments. The applicant's representative stated that BETA's review comments could be conditioned in the order. Ms. Goodlander stated that she did not think that it was putting the applicant out anymore waiting for another two weeks. She recommended a continuation to October 5, 2023. Discussion commenced on the timeline for waiting two weeks. Chair Livingstone stated the continuance is procedural; the Commission is expected to provide the same standard to everyone, so they really cannot bow to a personal schedule because someone wants to get the project done. Commission members agreed that procedurally, they have to be consistent. The applicant's representative noted this hearing was started

in May, and they have had to wait five months. Chair Livingstone said that they have never done this in the 13 years he has been on the Commission.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to continue the NOI for Lot 1A Prospect Street to October 5, 2023. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 0 Upper Union Street Solar

Ms. Goodlander said the applicant has paid all local filing fees and amended scope for BETA, and they have a site visit scheduled for next Thursday.

Ms. Colleen DeBenedetto of Valta Energy (via Zoom), on behalf of the applicant VS Union Solar Smart LLC, addressed the Commission. She stated that yesterday she had requested a continuation of the hearing.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to continue the NOI for 0 Upper Union Street Solar to October 5, 2023, at 7:05 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – RDA – 121 Grove Street

Ms. Goodlander said to continue to October 5, 2023.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the RDA for 121 Grove Street to October 5, 2023, at 7:06 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – 124-126 Grove Street

Ms. Goodlander stated that she and Jon had a site visit with Goddard Consulting on Monday. She said that at the end of the site visit, it was concluded that the applicant's representative was going to go out and collect more soil data in the southernmost basin to provide more information to definitively understand if that is jurisdictional wetlands or not and compare the other wetland types on site, and they also will need to GPS and pick up some additional flaggings.

Mr. Jonathan Niro of BETA (via Zoom) said the additional data would help them complete their review.

Mr. Scott Goddard of Goddard Consulting (via Zoom) said they did request a continuance, so he has nothing further to add to the discussion.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the ANRAD for 124-126 Grove Street to October 5, 2023, at 7:07 PM. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 3 Mount Street

Ms. Goodlander said that this will be a quick one. BETA submitted their review letter today. She said that she needs time to review the letter. She recommended a continuance to October 5, 2023.

Ms. Amanda Cavaliere of Guerriere and Halnon (via Zoom) addressed the Commission on behalf of the applicant. She stated that they wanted some clarification from BETA regarding comments that the existing conditions were not accurately shown on the plans and what BETA and the Commission would

be looking for. She said the existing conditions were done some time ago, and any changes would be partially due to the long time span of the project.

Applicant who did not identify himself stated that it was cleared out; however, due to various reasons, he has not been able to maintain it the way he would have liked.

Mr. Jonathan Niro of BETA (via Zoom) said that he recommended that they take a look at the site and there was an existing conditions tree line shown on Lot 4 and just wrap that around to where it should be and if there is an area that has grown in with scrubby overgrowth because the applicant has not been able to maintain it, he would just call it out on the plan. He said that Ms. Cavaliere would not need to send a crew out again.

Chair Livingstone questioned should the applicant leave it alone or continue to do maintenance. The applicant said he would like to leave it alone. Chair Livingstone said he agreed.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to continue the NOI for 3 Mount Street to October 5, 2023, at 7:08 PM. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 122 and 138 East Central Street

Ms. Hagen recused herself.

Ms. Goodlander said the applicant requested a continuance. She said the representative asked if he could sit down with BETA and Ms. Goodlander to go through the letter as a quick work session. She asked if that was good with the Commission and Chair Livingstone could attend. Chair Livingstone said sitting down together is fine.

Mr. Rick Goodreau of United Consultants addressed the Commission. He said they have reviewed BETA's letter regarding the wetland review, and there are some invasive species out there, and they would like to have some dialogue with them and the agent on that; he said they have some ideas. Mr. Goodreau, Ms. Goodlander, and Chair Livingstone scheduled a meeting at the Town Hall.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to continue the NOI for 122 and 138 East Central Street to October 5, 2023, at 7:09 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 5-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Ms. Hagen re-entered the meeting.

Public Hearing – ANRAD – Tri-County Regional Vocational Technical HS

Mr. Stephen Powers, civil engineer of Samiotes Consultants (via Zoom) addressed the Commission. He stated that Mr. Brad Holmes, wetland scientist of Environmental Consulting & Restoration, flagged and delineated the wetlands on the property. He said it was established that there are four BVW areas as well as two isolated vegetative wetlands. He stated that he is aware Ms. Goodlander and BETA need to review the delineation. He shared his screen and reviewed the proposed project. He reviewed the entire property including location of the school, entrances, parking lot, solar farm, pond street access point, isolated vegetative wetlands, and BVW areas. He noted another BVW located off the property; however, it is not part of the ANRAD. He stated that they are trying to establish the wetlands at this time.

Ms. Goodlander said she has not yet conducted a site visit. She shared her screen and showed aerial imagery and noted the probable stormwater runoff from the solar panels and wetland areas.

Ms. Katie Gelineau (via Zoom) said she was speaking for her relative living on Mucciarone Road which is next to the solar panels. She asked if they were going to take down the solar panels and build in that area. Mr. Powers said he was not able to speak on that. He said they are establishing the wetlands line.

Patricia of Ledgewood Condominiums (via Zoom) said this is next door and asked how this will affect them and if the school will be located behind them.

Chair Livingstone explained the ANRAD process. He stated that if there is a property you would like to develop, before you do anything, you need to identify any specific natural resources on the land which you might not be able to touch and where are those locations are as you would have to build around them and this may limit what you can do with the property. He explained that the ANRAD process is finding out where you cannot build or encroach on based on state and local bylaws.

There was a motion made by Jeffrey Milne to continue the ANRAD for Tri-County Regional Vocational Technical HS to October 5, 2023, at 7:10 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Public Hearing – NOI – 230 Grove Street

Mr. Rick Goodreau of United Consultants addressed the Commission for unpermitted stormwater improvements within the 200 ft. RFA; unpermitted work included the filling on an existing swale, installation of a drainage pipe, and reconstruction of an existing rip rap area. He reviewed the property location and noted it was formerly developed as the Metcalf Materials property. He explained the project was brought forth in 1987 and permits were issued and septic system installed. The site was modified in 2003 and existing stormwater shown. At that time the areas in the front portions were located outside the buffer zones. Since then, the property was sold to the current owner. They have done work on the site outside of the jurisdictional area. However, they installed a pipe in an area that was formerly swale and reconstructed the rip rap area. He stated that they have been working with Town officials and will be before the Planning Board. They have had the wetland delineation completed across the street as part of this. He stated that a perennial stream was identified across the street, so they now have riverfront area. He said this is an alternation of a riverfront area of about 500 sq. ft.; there are no buffer zone impacts proposed. He stated that they included the reconstructed rip rap in the calculations as the work has been completed. He stated that this plan shows some future improvements they would like to make. He stated that new stormwater systems will be installed. He noted the older plans did not show the riverfront area. He stated that they provided an alternatives analysis and stormwater report. He stated that it is really a redevelopment project. He stated that as of today, DEP has not issued a file number.

Chair Livingstone stated that the work has already been done and approximately 500 sq. ft. is not a big area.

Ms. Goodlander said that she planned to explain her reasoning and that it is because they are installing additional stormwater infrastructure that is going to go into the pipe and connect down underneath the street. Mr. Goodreau stated there is an existing catch basin. He showed and explained the existing leaching fields and the two more leaching pits being added. He explained the location of the pipe system.

Ms. Goodlander stated that generally she believes that it is an improvement. She stated that this is also a commercial business and there is trucking in and out going over the leaching field and she is cognizant of the impacts of the drainage system.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to continue the NOI for 230 Grove Street to October 5, 2023, at 7:11 PM. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

GENERAL BUSINESS

Minor Buffer Zone Activities: None.

Permit Modifications/Extensions: None.

Certificates of Compliance: Lot 1A Earls Way SE159-670

Ms. Goodlander stated that these are similar and in conjunction with Earls Way for the animal hospital. She stated that the project was conditioned in 1993. She stated that she offered to write a letter to the Registry of Deeds that all conditions have been met and this is historic. She said that did not work. She said they are in front of the Commission to close out two conditions. She stated that one is for the hospital and the other is for the subdivision and roadway. She recommended approval of both.

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to approve the Certificate of Compliance for Lot 1A Earls Way SE159-670. The motion was seconded by Richard Johnson and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Certificates of Compliance: Chestnut Street Park SE159-352

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to approve the Certificate of Compliance for Chestnut Street Park SE159-352. The motion was seconded by Mark LePage and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Violations/Enforcement: 305 Union Street

Ms. Goodlander stated that she would recommend an extension for another 30 days.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to extend the violation/enforcement for 305 Union Street for 30 days. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Minutes: September 7, 2023

There was a motion made by Mark LePage to approve the meeting minutes for September 7, 2023. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

Discussions: None.

Chair and Commission Comments:

Possible internship – B.S. in Zoology

Ms. Goodlander stated that she was contacted by an undergraduate student in zoology, and he was curious for an internship. She stated that she does not have budget for an internship. She said that any internship she would ask the Commission to fund. She said she has not spoken with HR or any of her bosses. She wanted feedback from the Commission first. She stated that the candidate is looking for 20 hours per week for two work days per week. She said minimum wage is \$15 an hour; she would like to pay him a little more to make it a little more enticing. She said that \$15.50 over three months is about \$3,720. She

said she does not have all the information at this time. She reviewed some projects such as float devices. She stated that there is a habitat grant that she would like to go for and she does not have the bandwidth at this time to write it. She said she did not know the university the candidate was from. Chair Livingstone stated that there is \$4,000 in the budget. Discussion commenced on the available funds from the different accounts. Ms. Goodlander stated that there are four different accounts and one of them is wetlands protection which is all of the local filing fees and that one is pretty hefty; it has over \$200,000. She explained that the Commission has a gift fund. She said there is a fund she created from excess grant money for some grants she has gotten. She said there is a conservation fund which is generally for workshops, etc. Chair Livingstone stated that the Commission can afford an intern. Commission members agreed. Chair Livingstone asked Ms. Goodlander to work with the candidate to come up with a work plan. He asked that the candidate come before the Commission as they would like to meet him and interact with him; if he is approved, he should come before the Commission regularly to engage with the Commission. Ms. Goodlander said she is not sure which semester the candidate would be interested in. Chair Livingstone stated that the school the candidate is attending should be told. He said that if this is something that is found to be productive, they can actually create and market this to colleges.

Town Council OSRP Resolution

Ms. Goodlander said the Open Space presentation to Town Council was last night, and they voted unanimously to approve it. Ms. Goodlander said for next steps she is waiting for review letters from the state, comments would be addressed, and then sent to the state for approval. Ms. Hagen congratulated Ms. Goodlander on her presentation at the Town Council meeting. Ms. Goodlander expressed her thanks for working with the Commission and community. Chair Livingstone reviewed the previous OSRP experience. He suggested making it more of a living plan and not just filing it away.

Master Plan Liaison Comments

Ms. Hagen discussed writing the Master Plan. She said each subcommittee is looking at the last Master Plan for review. She said she thinks it is feasible for it to be a live document. She discussed the possibility of using some of the conservation funds to get some things done. Ms. Goodlander said it has to be related in some way to wetlands protection. Ms. Hagen said even things like signage and making things more visible we will be more readily protecting it such as staying on the trails, doing what they are supposed to. She said her next subcommittee meeting is on September 26. She will keep everyone posted. Chair Livingstone said so many times you write these set-in-stone plans and the problem is they go out three, five, or 10 years, so trying to create some kind of adaptability into the strategy is difficult, but it is good because it always happens that things change, such as Covid, and things no longer apply due to external factors that no one could have known; a true living plan has to have an element of adaptability. Ms. Hagen said once the OSRP is final and we have state approval, why don't we make a plan for annual review. Chair Livingstone stated that he agrees.

Farmers Market

Ms. Hagen said she would like the Commission to get to the Farmers Market one more time this year; the Farmers Market goes until October 27. Commission members reviewed their schedules. Ms. Hagen and Mr. Rein agreed to attend October 20.

Mr. LePage asked about trail maintenance at the Town forest on Summer Street and if it falls under Conservation or DPW. Ms. Goodlander stated that it falls under her natural resource protection manager hat. She said she has two job titles and two job duties. She said she gets a lot of calls about the Town forest. She said it is a large site. She said it comes to her and she delegates out to DPW. She said with schools right now grounds crews are pretty swamped. She said she has been talking with one specific person over many months and she asked her to screen shot the areas on the phone as she needs to be able to find the downed trees. Chair Livingstone said much like DelCarte, should there be a Town forest

cleanup day. Ms. Goodlander said the Recreation Department puts it on their map for Earth Day clean up, but she is not sure how many people go to the Town forest for cleanup. Chair Livingstone suggested Arbor Day. Ms. Goodlander said she has spoken to Town Attorney Mark Cerel and residents take on their own liability when they go on to town property like that within reason. She would like to ask him again. Ms. Hagen said this is a good conversation to have in mind when they look at their priorities. Ms. Goodlander noted that there are positions called land stewards which are usually paid positions; she explained the duties.

Executive Session: None.

There was a motion made by Richard Johnson to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Jeffrey Milne and accepted with a roll call vote of 6-0-0. Roll Call Vote: Livingstone-Yes; Johnson-Yes; Milne-Yes; Rein-Yes; Hagen-Yes; LePage-Yes.

The meeting adjourned at 8:57 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith Lizardi
Recording Secretary