Citizens Bank

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC
500A WASHINGTON STREET
QUINCY, MA 02169

5-7017/2110

11/17/2022

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Town of Franklin $ **6,720.50

Six Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty and 50/100********** DOLLAR

Town of Franklin

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

MEMO

ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS HEAT SENSITIVE INK. TOUCH OR PRESS HERE - RED IMAGE DISAPPEARS WITH HEAT.

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC 38

Town of Franklin	ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street	11/17/2022	6,720.50

Citizens Checking	ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street	6,720.50

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC
500A WASHINGTON STREET
QUINCY, MA 02169

Citizens Bank

5-7017/2110

11/17/2022

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Commonwealth of Massachusetts $ **987.50

Nine Hundred Eighty-Seven and 50/100********** DOLLAR

MEMO

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street, Franklin, MA

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS HEAT SENSITIVE INK. TOUCH OR PRESS HERE - RED IMAGE DISAPPEARS WITH HEAT.

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

38

Commonwealth of Massachusetts		11/17/2022	
	ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street, Franklin, MA		987.50

Citizens Checking	ANRAD Filing Fee - 121 Grove Street, Franklin,	987.50

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

APPENDIX D

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORMS

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFA-44 Transect Number: WET-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only
☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II
☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **April 20, 2022**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*)	85.5%	100%	Yes	FAC*
Saplings				
Shrubs				
Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*)	10.5%	20.4%	Yes	FACU
Sweet pepperbush (*Clethra alnifolia*)	38.0%	73.7%	Yes	FAC*
Black birch (*Betula lenta*)	3.0%	5.8%	No	FACU
Herbaceous				
Skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*)	38.0	34.1%	Yes	FACW*
Sphagnum moss (*Sphagnum* sp.)	63.0	56.5%	Yes	OBL*
Marsh blue violet (*Viola cucullata*)	10.5	9.4%	No	OBL*
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 4 **Number of dominant non-wetland indicator plants: 1**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☑ NO ☐

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFA-44

Transect Number: WET-1

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Is there a published soil survey for this site? YES ☑ NO ☐

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:
71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A	**0-4"**	**10YR 2/1**	
B	**4-13"**	**10YR 5/1**	

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☑ NO ☐

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☐ Depth to free water in observation hole: _____

☑ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: **Surface**

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☑ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other): _____

☑ Other: **Buttressed tree roots**

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☑	☐
Hydric soils present	☑	☐
Other indicators of hydrology present	☑	☐
Sample location is in BVW	☑	☐

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFA-44

Transect Number: UPL-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only

☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II

☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **April 20, 2022**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Red Oak (*Quercus velutina*)	63.0	62.2%	Yes	NL
White Pine (*Pinus strobus*)	38.0	37.8%	Yes	FACU
Saplings				
American Beech (*Fagus grandifolia*)	10.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
White Oak (*Quercus alba*)	10.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Shrubs				
Herbaceous				
Princess Pine (*Lycopodium obscurum*)	10.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Canada Mayflower (*Maianthemum canadensis*)	10.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 0 **Number of non-wetland indicator plants: 6**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☐ NO ☑

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Transect Number: UPL-1

Observation Plot Number: WFA-44

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Is there a published soil survey for this site? YES ☑ NO ☐

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:
71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A	**0-10"**	**10YR 2/2**	
B	**10-15"**	**10YR 5/6**	

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☐ NO ☑

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☐ Depth to free water in observation hole: _____

☐ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: _____

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☐ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):

_____

☐ Other: _____

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☐	☑
Hydric soils present	☐	☑
Other indicators of hydrology present	☐	☑
Sample location is in BVW	☐	☑

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFB-60 Transect Number: WET-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only

☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II

☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **August 1, 2017**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Yellow Birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*)	63.0	51.2%	Yes	FAC*
White Oak (*Quercus alba*)	38.0	31.2%	Yes	FACU
Red maple (*Acer rubrum*)	20.5	16.8%	No	FAC*
Saplings				
Shrubs				
Herbaceous				
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 1 **Number of non-wetland indicator plants: 1**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☑ NO ☐

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFB-60

Transect Number: WET-1

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Is there a published soil survey for this site? YES ☑ NO ☐

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:
71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A	**0-8"**	**10YR 2/1**	
B	**8-13"**	**10YR 2/3**	

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☑ NO ☐

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☐ Depth to free water in observation hole: _____

☑ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: **4"**

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☐ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):

☐ Other: _____

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☑	☐
Hydric soils present	☑	☐
Other indicators of hydrology present	☑	☐
Sample location is in BVW	☑	☐

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFB-60 Transect Number: UPL-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

- ☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only
- ☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II
- ☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **April 20, 2022**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Yellow Birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*)	38.0	55.0%	Yes	FAC*
White Pine (*Pinus strobus*)	20.5	29.7%	Yes	FACU
Red maple (*Acer rubrum*)	10.5	15.2%	No	FAC*
Saplings				
Shrubs				
Black Birch (*Betula lenta*)	10.5	100.0%	Yes	FACU
Herbaceous				
Canada Mayflower (*Maianthemum canadensis*)	10.5	100.0%	Yes	FACU
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 1 **Number of non-wetland indicator plants: 3**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☐ NO ☑

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFB-60

Transect Number: UPL-1

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Is there a published soil survey for this site? YES ☑ NO ☐

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:
71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A	**0-7"**	**10YR 4/2**	
B	**7-14"**	**10YR 5/6**	

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☐ NO ☑

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☐ Depth to free water in observation hole: _____

☐ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: _____

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☐ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):

_____

☐ Other: _____

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☐	☑
Hydric soils present	☐	☑
Other indicators of hydrology present	☐	☑
Sample location is in BVW	☐	☑

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFC-8 Transect Number: WET-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only
☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II
☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **April 20, 2022**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Saplings				
Shrubs				
Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*)	10.5	100.0%	Yes	FACU
Herbaceous				
Sensitive Fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*)	85.5	80.0%	Yes	FACW*
Sedge (*Carex* sp.)	20.5	20.0%	Yes	FACW/OBL*
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 2 **Number of non-wetland indicator plants: 1**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☑ NO ☐

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFC-8

Transect Number: WET-1

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Is there a published soil survey for this site? YES ☑ NO ☐

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:
71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A1	**0-4"**	**10YR 2/2**	
A2	**4-8"**	**10YR 3/2**	**10% 5/8**
B	**8-18"**	**10YR 3/1**	**30-40%**
Bg	**18-30"**	**10YR 6/3**	**50%**

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☑ NO ☐

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☑ Depth to free water in observation hole: **3"**

☐ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: _____

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☐ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other): _____

☐ Other: _____

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☑	☐
Hydric soils present	☑	☐
Other indicators of hydrology present	☑	☐
Sample location is in BVW	☑	☐

LUCAS
ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFC-8 Transect Number: UPL-1

Applicant: **Fairfield Residential** Prepared by: **Lucas Environmental, LLC** Project Location: **121 Grove Street, Franklin**

- ☐ Vegetation alone presumed adequate to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Section I only
- ☑ Vegetation and other indicators of hydrology used to delineate BVW boundary: fill out Sections I and II
- ☐ Method other than dominance test used (attach additional information)

SECTION I. VEGETATION

Date of Delineation: **April 20, 2022**

A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Tree				
Saplings				
Shrubs				
Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*)	20.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Autumn Olive (*Elaeagnus umbellata*)	20.5	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Herbaceous				
Wild onion (*Allium canadense*)	38.0	50.0%	Yes	FACU
Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*)	38.0	50.0%	Yes	FAC*
Vines				
None				

* Use an asterisk to mark indicator plants: plant species listed in the wetlands Protection Act (MGL c.131, s.40); plants in the genus *Sphagnum*; plants listed as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physiological or morphological adaptations. If any plants are identified as wetland indicator plants due to physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the adaptation next to the asterisk.

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants: 1 **Number of non-wetland indicator plants: 3**

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plants: YES ☐ NO ☑

LUCAS ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

WETLAND DELINEATION FIELD DATA FORM

Observation Plot Number: WFC-8

Transect Number: UPL-1

SECTION II. INDICATORS OF HYDROLOGY

Hydric Soil Interpretation

1. Soil Survey

Title/Date: **Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts. (GIS Data from the Soil Survey Geographic - SSURGO data base produced by the USDA, NRCS) Accessed online April 5, 2022**

Map Number/Soil Type Mapped:

71B – Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, extremely stony;
103B – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 3-8% slopes;
103C – Charlton-Urban land-Hollis complex, 8-15% slopes

Hydric Soil Inclusions: **Yes**

Are field observations consistent with soil survey? YES ☑ NO ☐

Remarks:

2. Soil Description

Horizon	Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color
A	**0-5"**	**10YR 3/4**	
B	**5-18"**	**10YR 5/6**	
R			

Remarks:

3. Other:

Conclusion: Is soil hydric? YES ☐ NO ☑

Other Indicators of Hydrology:

☐ Site inundated: _____

☐ Depth to free water in observation hole: _____

☐ Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: _____

☐ Water marks: _____

☐ Drift lines: _____

☐ Sediment deposits: _____

☐ Drainage patterns in BVW: _____

☐ Oxidized rhizospheres: _____

☐ Water-stained leaves: _____

☐ Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):

_____

☐ Other: _____

Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion

	YES	NO
Number of wetland indicator plants greater than or equal to number of non-wetland indicator plants	☐	☑
Hydric soils present	☐	☑
Other indicators of hydrology present	☐	☑
Sample location is in BVW	☐	☑