WALSH BROTHERS BUILDING CO., INC.

11 Saddle Way: Walpole, Massachusetts 02081 Tel (508)668-3434

Email: jlwalsh11@comcast.net

April 11, 2024

Emailed to alove@franklinma.gov

Town of Franklin Planning Board Ms. Amy Love 355 East Central St. Franklin, MA 02038

RE: Uncas Ave Special Permit Lots 1-9

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board,

I hope that this letter finds you well. Before the next hearing on these applications, and out of respect for the Board in trying to work with us on these applications, I wanted to provide some calculations about the potential negative impact of requiring affordable housing unit(s), or asking us to withdraw some of our applications to create triplexes. We believe that such a requirement would achieve the opposite of what the Board is trying to do in requiring affordable housing unit(s).

As you can see from the simple spreadsheet below, the move from duplexes to triplexes is only a minor income-generator and keeps the prices of each unit more manageable, providing access to relatively affordable housing for many propsective Frankin residents. This is true especially when a price point of Six Hundred Thousand

(\$600,000) per 1,700 s.f. triplex unit has very little precedent on the market. Providing affordable unit(s) would force an increase in the price point of the remaining triplexes, putting pressure on a price point for the development that is already intended to be affordable and accessible. Such a price increase might not even be possible as it would break the usual price per square foot threshold, which would damage the economic viability of the proejet as a whole. Our hope is that the lower price will target a larger buying pool and quicker sales in this era of high interest rates.

As a result of this analysis, we do not see the benefit either to us or the community in withdrawing some number of triplex applications in lieu of duplexes, as the Board has suggested during the course of this application. All of the Town Boards unanimously supported the triplex bylaw, and triplex units will sell for approximately Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000) less than they would as duplex units, accomplishing the goal of providing affordable housing. Two previous permits were issued unanimously by this Board agreeing that all the special permit criteria had been met to make this same switch from duplexes to triplexes. The additional traffic impact of this third application is nonexistent per the calculation of our and the Town's traffic experts, and the Town Engineer has also provided a "clean" comment letter. The project is located on a dead-end cul-de-sac where no abutters' homes face the additional units and few homes will even have the new units in their sight lines. The triplex units would also be in keeping with the abutting apartment building. In earlier phases of this project we have provided the Town with the benefit of improving Uncas Avenue as part of the project at large and replaced antiquated piping for the water supply of surrounding roads. As one town council member argued in our favor at the last meeting, the unanimous approval of the triplex by-law was done without any provisions requiring units as part of such a development. An affordable unit or units would be such a financial burden that it would require us to charge more for each triplex unit than we otherwise would be able to. This is even more critical in this situation where the transiion from duplexes to triplexes will already have the natural effect of keeping per unit prices down, perhaps as much as Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000) Dollars per unit, which would accomplish the same goal of providing more affordable price points to Franklin's housing market. We hope that this letter and the attached encourage the Board to take a renewed look at the project and its various benefits, and how it is consistent with prior recent decisions of the Board on the identical previous applications, and the reasons for approving the triplex zoning overall. We ask that you vote for approval of the special permits for all of these reasons.

Sincerely,

John J. Walsh

President, Walsh Brothers Building Company

Sales revenue of three triplexes	\$1,800,000.00	Sales revenue of two duplexes	\$1,650,000.00
Possible additional triplex revenue	\$1,350,000.00	1,8001,650. x 9 units	
Additional expense per triplex	\$1,125,000.00	125k per unit for additional	
Possible additional income over a probable three year buildout	\$225,000.00	kitchen/2.5 baths, sprinkler, tra	affic studies etc
Provide affordable-unit revenue impact	-\$350,000.00		
Additional income for building nine more units factoring in	-\$125,000.00		
an one affordable unit			
Two affordable units	-\$475,000.00		
Additional potential revenue is probably over a three-year perio	d		
These numbers assume \$600k sales price per triplex unit which	s unprecedented. Ţ	ne duplex sales price is preceder	ited.

Town of Franklin

355 East Central Street Franklin, Massachusetts 02038-1352



Phone: (508) 520-4907 www.franklinma.gov

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 17, 2024

TO: Franklin Planning Board

FROM: Department of Planning and Community Development

RE: Uncas Ave

Special Permit & Site Plan Modification

General:

1. The site is located at Uncas Ave Extension in the Single Family IV Zoning District.

- 2. The Subdivision was approved on January 27, 2014 for several lots for duplex housing.
- 3. The Applicant is requesting to construct 3-family units on 7 lots.
- 4. Special Permit is required under Section 185 Attachment 7.6.1.b Three Units

Comments from April 8 meeting:

- Concern the project is too dense by adding another unit per lot.
- No new plans have been submited.

Recommendation:

• DPCD recommends closing the public hearing and voting on the Special Permit and Site Plan Modification.

ROLE CALL VOTE:

This determination shall be in addition to the following specific findings:

If you vote NO on any of the following, please state reason why you are voting NO:

- (1) Special Permit: To allow multi-family with Three housing units 185 Attachment 7.6.1.b
- (a) Proposed project addresses or is consistent with neighborhood or Town need.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(b) Vehicular traffic flow, access and parking and pedestrian safety are properly addressed.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(c) Public roadways, drainage, utilities and other infrastructure are adequate or will be upgraded to accommodate development.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(d) Neighborhood character and social structure will not be negatively impacted.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(e) Project will not destroy or cause substantial damage to any environmentally-significant natural resource, habitat, or feature or, if it will, proposed mitigation, remediation, replication or compensatory measures are adequate.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Iennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(f) Number, height, bulk, location and siting of building(s) and structure(s) will not result in abutting properties being deprived of light or fresh air circulation or being exposed to flooding or subjected to excessive noise, odor, light, vibrations, or airborne particulates.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

(g) Water consumption and sewer use, taking into consideration current and projected future local water supply and demand and wastewater treatment capacity, will not be excessive.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			

The proposed use will not have adverse effects which overbalance its beneficial effects on either the neighborhood or the Town, in view of the particular characteristics of the site and of the proposal in relation to that site.

Gregory Rondeau	YES	NO	Jay Mello	YES	NO
Beth Wierling	YES	NO	Chris Stickney	YES	NO
Jennifer Williams	YES	NO			



