



TO:	Joseph D. Peznola, P.E. Hancock Associates	DATE:	March 12, 2024
FROM:	Steven C. Findlen Keri Pyke, P.E., PTOE	HSH PROJECT NO.:	2024004.00
SUBJECT:	Transportation Peer Review – Response to Comments Issues Summary 121 Grove Street, Franklin, Massachusetts		

Howard Stein Hudson (HSH) conducted a peer review of the materials prepared for the proposed residential development located at 121 Grove Street (the Project) in Franklin, Massachusetts. The following table summarizes each of the pending issues:

Issue	Resolved	Notes
1. Trip Distribution and Assignment	Yes	The Applicant has revised the trip distribution and updated the traffic analyses/tables accordingly. No further action required.
2. On-site Planning and Parking	No	The Applicant states that there is ample parking for residents. However, it appears that there are buildings that do not have adjacent parking and residents will need to walk from parking provided from other buildings, specifically Building 3 and 4. Given the distances between the additional parking and the entry points for Buildings 3 and 4, it may be unreasonable to think people are going to park and walk. HSH defers to the Board in its deliberation of the parking waiver on this item.
3. On-site Planning and Parking	Yes	The turning movements provided confirm that moving and trash/recycling trucks can safely access all buildings; although the Applicant did not specify the areas where move-in/move-out will take place, the Applicant asserts that property management will facilitate parking for moving trucks as needed. We generally agree with this approach. No further action is required.
4. Pedestrians/Cyclists	Yes	The Applicant has provided clarification that bike storage rooms will be included as part of the project to accommodate up to 20 bicycles per room. In addition, outdoor bicycle racks will be provided at the clubhouse and at each of the residential buildings. No further action required.
5. Geometric Design Criteria	Yes	The Applicant explained and conducted a satisfactory sight distance analysis. We generally agree with the Applicant's sight distance measurement approach. No further action required.



Issue	Resolved	Notes
6. Geometric Design Criteria	Yes	The AutoTURN analysis confirms that the fire trucks can enter and exit the proposed driveway safely from both the north and south on Grove Street. No further action is required.
7. Site Improvements	Yes	The Applicant provided a revised Parking and Traffic Control Plan with the locations of the accessible ramps. We agree with the locations of the accessible ramps and corresponding details provided. No further action is required.
8. Transportation Demand Management (TDM)	Yes	The Applicant has agreed to identify rideshare pick-up/drop-off areas within the project limits based on future demands for these types of services. No further action required.
9. Construction Period Issues	Yes	The Applicant has agreed to provide details of any construction impacts as well as schedule to be included as part of the Construction Plan to be submitted and approved in the future prior to any construction activities taking place. No further action required.

If you have any questions or require further information, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Steven C. Findlen
Manager, Bridgewater Office

Keri Pyke, P.E., PTOE
Principal